

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

MARGARET SPEER,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 20-cv-0692 CG/SMV

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR,
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION,
NEW MEXICO MUNICIPAL LEAGUE, INC.,
LAGO RICO, INC., NEAL B. BROWN, and ROBERT GAGNON,**

Defendants.¹

INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

This case is assigned to me for scheduling, case management, discovery, and all non-dispositive motions. Both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as amended, as well as the Local Rules of the Court apply to this lawsuit. Civility and professionalism are required of counsel. Counsel must read and comply with “A Lawyer’s Creed of Professionalism of the State Bar of New Mexico.”

The parties, appearing through counsel or pro se, must “meet and confer” no later than **March 9, 2021**, to formulate a Provisional Discovery Plan. Fed R. Civ. P. 26(f). At the meet-and-confer session, the parties must discuss: (1) the nature and bases of their claims and

¹ When Plaintiff filed her Amended Complaint [Doc. 3] on July 15, 2020, she omitted Defendant Brown, and therefore no claim is pending against him. Similarly, Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed her claims against Defendant New Mexico Municipal League, Inc., with prejudice on July 29, 2020. [Doc. 4]. Additionally, the Clerk entered default against Defendant Robert Gagnon on January 28, 2021. [Doc. 29]. Finally, although Plaintiff named three federal Defendants in her Amended Complaint (United States of America, Department of Interior, and Bureau of Reclamation), she is satisfied with the Answer filed on September 21, 2020, [Doc. 18], and does not expect further answers from any federal Defendant. *See* [Doc. 32] (clerk’s minutes of status conference clarifying identity of federal Defendants).

defenses; (2) the possibility of a prompt resolution or settlement; (3) making or arranging for complete initial disclosures as required by Rule 26(a)(1); (4) preserving discoverable information; and, (5) the formulation of a provisional discovery plan. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), (f). In formulating a provisional discovery plan, counsel and pro se parties should meaningfully discuss: (i) the subjects on which discovery may be needed, when discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or limited to particular issues; (ii) the disclosure, discovery, and preservation of electronically stored information, including the form(s) in which it should be produced; (iii) any claims of privilege or confidentiality of materials, including exploring whether the parties can agree on a procedure to assert these claims and whether they will ask the Court to include any agreement in an order; (iv) whether any changes should be sought to the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Civil Rules; and (v) the facts and the law governing the case to which the parties are willing to stipulate.

Pursuant to Rule 26(d)(2), the parties may deliver discovery requests under Rule 34 prior to the meet-and-confer date, however those requests are not considered to have been served until the first meet-and-confer session.

Initial disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) must be made within 21 days of the meet-and-confer session, unless a different time is set by stipulation or Court order. The parties are advised to strictly follow the letter and spirit of Rule 26(a)(1) in preparing their initial disclosures. Initial disclosures are intended to accelerate the exchange of core information about the case and eliminate the need for formal discovery at the early stages of litigation. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) 1993 advisory committee's notes. The parties must meet these objectives in making their initial

disclosures and should be prepared to explain how they have fully complied with their obligations under Rule 26(a)(1) at the Rule 16 initial scheduling conference.

The parties will cooperate in preparing a Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan (“JSR”), following the sample JSR available at the Court’s web site. The parties are to fill in the blanks for proposed dates, bearing in mind that the time allowed for discovery is generally 120 to 180 days from the date of the Rule 16 initial scheduling conference. Plaintiff (or Defendant in removed cases) is responsible for filing the JSR by **March 23, 2021**.

The Court will determine actual case management deadlines after considering the parties’ requests. Parties may not modify case management deadlines on their own. Good cause must be shown and the Court’s express and written approval obtained for any modification of the dates in the Scheduling Order.

A Rule 16 initial scheduling conference will be held by telephone on **April 16, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. MDT**. The parties must call the Court’s AT&T Conference Line, **(888) 363-4734 (access code: 4382538)**, to connect to the proceedings. At the conference, counsel and any pro se parties must be prepared to discuss their JSR; all claims and defenses; initial disclosures; discovery requests and scheduling; issues relating to the disclosure, discovery, and preservation of electronically stored information; the timing of expert disclosures and reports under Rule 26(a)(2);² and the use of scientific evidence and whether it is anticipated that a *Daubert*³

² In preparing the JSR, counsel should be familiar with the Rule 26 requirements concerning disclosure of expert testimony for witnesses who do not provide a written report. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C). Summary disclosures are, under certain circumstances, required of treating physicians. *Farris v. Intel Corp.*, 493 F. Supp. 2d 1174, 1180 (D.N.M. 2007) (Treating physicians who do not submit Rule 26 expert reports may only testify “based on . . . personal knowledge and observations obtained during [the] course of care and treatment[.]”); *Blodgett v. United States*, No. 2:06-CV-00565 DAK, 2008 WL 1944011, at *5 (D. Utah May 1, 2008) (“[T]reating physicians not disclosed as experts are limited to testimony based on personal knowledge and may not testify beyond their treatment of a patient.”).


³ *See Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579, 590–92 (1993).

hearing will be needed. We will also discuss settlement prospects, alternative dispute resolution possibilities, and consideration of consent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Lead counsel and parties appearing pro se must participate unless excused by the Court. Parties represented by counsel need not attend.

Pre-trial practice in this case shall be in accordance with the foregoing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the deadlines shall be as follows:

Meet and Confer by:	March 9, 2021
JSR filed by:	March 23, 2021
Initial Disclosures due within 21 days of the meet-and-confer session, but in no event later than:	March 30, 2021
Telephonic Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference:	April 16, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. MDT



STEPHAN M. VIDMAR
United States Magistrate Judge